



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

SUPPLY & DELIVERY OF STATIONERY TO NAMPOWER FOR THREE (3) YEARS

**G/ONB/NPWR-23/2021**

<b>1. Scope of Contract:</b>	SUPPLY & DELIVERY OF STATIONERY TO NAMPOWER FOR THREE (3) YEARS		
<b>2. Procurement Method used:</b>	Goods		
<b>3. Date of invitation of Bids</b>	06 <sup>th</sup> May 2021		
<b>4. Closing date for submission of bids</b>	09 July 2021		
<b>5. Date and place of opening of bids</b>	09 July 2021 NamPower Head Office		
<b>6. Number of bids received by closing date:</b>	67		
<b>7. Responsiveness of bids:</b>			
BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$ (N/A)	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/NO)	REASONS WHY THE BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
1. Kenzi Investments cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
2. New Success Investments cc		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
3. Deme Investment CC		No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
4. Fairground Investments CC		No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
5. Brand Excellence Investments CC		No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
6. Olivetti Investment Group		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • Copy of owner's ID absent.
7. Imminent Growth Investment cc		No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
8. Dera Investment cc		No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
9. Onambula Investment Group cc		No	Scored 65% for technical evaluation
10. ABD Consulting Engineers		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • One of the owners provided an SA passport. Requirement of 51% Namibian ownership not met.



11. Lakadiva Investment cc		No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
12. Nghilifenanye Investment cc		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
13. Gongaleni Investment cc		No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
14. Nu! Nanis Investment cc		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSC good standing expired and invalid, dated 16/04/2021.</li> </ul>
15. Kakunino Investment cc		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Copy of owner's ID not provided.</li> </ul>
16. Sharp Design & Printing CC		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ID copy provided not certified.</li> <li>• Provided incomplete bid submission form.</li> </ul>
17. Amutanga Trading Enterprises cc		No	Scored 40% for technical evaluation
18. Africhem Investment CC		No	Scored 50% for technical evaluation
19. KKV Investment CC		No	Scored 60% for technical evaluation
20. Ogwandje Investment CC		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
21. Xfinity Investment CC		No	Scored 40% for technical evaluation
22. Rocson Investment CC		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Copy of owner's ID not provided.</li> </ul>
23. Tekmak Investment		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Copy of owner's ID not provided.</li> </ul>
24. Faradays Investment CC		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
25. S & T Engineering & Construction		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
26. Nangu Line Trading		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)



27. RW Investment CC		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
28. Ultimate Communications / Investment cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
29. Essential Investments		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
30. DS Technology & Investments cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
31. Habassen Trading		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
32. Compass Agencies cc		No	Scored 50% for technical evaluation
33. Kambare Trading Investments CC		No	Scored 25% for technical evaluation
34. N - Tee Trading		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
35. Atai Investment CC		No	Scored 65% for technical evaluation
36. Bonga Trading CC		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
37. Globemaster Trading		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
38. Skandada Trading		No	Scored 60% for technical evaluation
39. Ideal Motors cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
40. KK Target Trading		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
41. Bsquare Investments		No	Scored 30% for technical evaluation
42. Ebbrie Genenis Stationer cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
43. Tulitech Investments CC		No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
44. Betu Group Trading cc		No	Scored 85% for technical evaluation
45. Eko Tech		No	Scored 60% for technical evaluation
46. Hawick Investment CC		No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
47. Namib Office Supplies cc		No	Scored 40% for technical evaluation
48. The Kongom Group		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: •Copies of ID copies of two members of the trust not provided.



49. Qualitrade Investment cc		No	Scored 35% for technical evaluation
50. Muadifam Enterprises cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
51. Denu Stationers		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • Copy of owner's ID not provided
52. Crown Distributors cc		No	Scored 90% for technical evaluation
53. Windhoek Rubber Stamps		No	Scored 50% for technical evaluation
54. Prime Innovative Tech		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
55. Deekyle Investment CC		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • Copy of owner's ID not provided
56. LNB Supplies & Investments		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • Copy of owner's ID not provided • SSC good standing expired, dated 10/05/2021.
57. Ongongo Investment cc		No	Scored 70% for technical evaluation
58. Marone Investment cc		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • Copy of owner's ID not provided
59. Edge Investments cc		No	• Copy of one of the owner's ID not provided
60. Ekwakuti Trading cc		No	Scored 50% for technical evaluation
61. Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • Copy/ies of shareholders ID's not provided. • Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd owned by Bidvest Namibia, no further proof of ownership provided.
62. Kaluanda Trading cc		No	Scored 50% for technical evaluation
63. Salient Investments cc		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • SSC good standing invalid, dated 02/03/2021



64. Green Zone Goods Supply & Transportation cc		No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • AA compliance certificate expired, dated 01 June 2020 and is valid for 12 months considering the initial closing date of 11 June 2021, the certificate is invalid.
65. Rendez Vous		Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
66. PTN Trading		No	Scored 60% for technical evaluation
67. Rosebank Investments cc		No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation

**1. Bidders selected for award as prequalified service providers:**

1.	New Success Investment cc
2.	Nghilifenanye Investment cc
3.	Faradys Investment cc
4.	Nangu Line Trading
5.	RW Investment cc
6.	Essential Investments
7.	Habassen Trading
8.	Bonga Trading cc
9.	Globemaster Trading
10.	KK Target Trading
11.	Prime Innovative Tech
12.	Rendez Vous